# Hypercontractivity, Maximal Correlation and Non-cooperative Simulation

Zi Yin and Youngsuk Park

# Example1

#### DSBS(Doubly Symmetric Binary Source):

• Model:



# Example1

#### DSBS(Doubly Symmetric Binary Source):

• Model:



#### • Common Information Measures:

$$I(X;Y) = 1 - H(\alpha)$$
  

$$\rho_m^2(X;Y) = s^*(X;Y) = (1 - 2\alpha)^2$$

#### SBES(Symmetric Binary Erasure Source):

• Model:



# Example 2

#### SBES(Symmetric Binary Erasure Source):

• Model:



• Common Information Measures:

$$I(X;Y) = \rho_m^2(X;Y) = s^*(X;Y) = 1 - \epsilon$$

#### BSBES(Binary Symmetric and Binary Erasure Source): • Model:



#### BSBES(Binary Symmetric and Binary Erasure Source): • Model:



• Common Information Measures:

$$I(X;Y) = (1 - \epsilon)(1 - H(\alpha))$$
  
$$\rho_m^2(X;Y) = s^*(X;Y) = (1 - \epsilon)(1 - 2\alpha)^2$$

• Remind Why do we care two common inforamation meausres?

- Remind Why do we care two common inforamation meausres?
- Scenario: Non-Interactive Simulation



- Remind Why do we care two common inforamation meausres?
- Scenario: Non-Interactive Simulation



• Necessary condition: By using data processing inequality and tesorized property of  $\rho_m, r_p$ 

• 
$$\rho(X;Y) \ge \rho(U,V)$$

• 
$$r_p(X;Y) \ge r_p(U,V)$$
 for all  $p$ 



• Necessary Condition:  $\rho_m(X;Y) \ge \rho_m(U;V) \Leftrightarrow |1-2\alpha_1| \ge |1-2\alpha_2| \Leftrightarrow \alpha_1 \le \alpha_2$ 



• Sufficient Condition:  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$ .



• Sufficient Condition:  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$ . How?



• Sufficient Condition:  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$ . How?





• Sufficient Condition:  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$ . How?





• Can we make such virtual channel ?



• Sufficient Condition:  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$ . How?



• Can we make such virtual channel ? Yes!



• Sufficient Condition:  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$ . How?



• Can we make such virtual channel ? Yes! For example, given a  $\tilde{\alpha}$ , there eixst  $n, K_n$  s.t  $\mathbb{P}(S_n(Y^n) < K_n) \approx \tilde{\alpha}$ . Thus  $Z|\{Y_1\} = Y_1 \oplus 1$  if  $S_n(Y^n) < K_n$ ,  $Z|\{Y_1\} = Y_1$  otherwise.



• Necessary Condition:  $\rho_m(X;Y) \ge \rho_m(U;V) \Leftrightarrow 1 - \epsilon_1 \ge 1 - \epsilon_2 \Leftrightarrow \epsilon_1 \le \epsilon_2$ 



• Necessary Condition:  $\rho_m(X;Y) \ge \rho_m(U;V) \Leftrightarrow 1 - \epsilon_1 \ge 1 - \epsilon_2 \Leftrightarrow \epsilon_1 \le \epsilon_2$ 

• Sufficient Condition:  $\epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2$ 



• Necessary Condition:  $\rho_m(X;Y) \ge \rho_m(U;V) \Leftrightarrow 1 - \epsilon_1 \ge 1 - \epsilon_2 \Leftrightarrow \epsilon_1 \le \epsilon_2$ 

- Sufficient Condition:  $\epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2$ 
  - How? Choose  $\tilde{\epsilon} = \epsilon_2 \epsilon_1$



• Necessary Condition:  $\rho_m(X;Y) \ge \rho_m(U;V) \Leftrightarrow 1 - \epsilon_1 \ge 1 - \epsilon_2 \Leftrightarrow \epsilon_1 \le \epsilon_2$ 

- Sufficient Condition:  $\epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2$ 
  - How? Choose  $\tilde{\epsilon} = \epsilon_2 \epsilon_1$





- Necessary Condition:  $\rho_m(X;Y) \ge \rho_m(U;V) \Leftrightarrow 1 - \epsilon_1 \ge 1 - \epsilon_2 \Leftrightarrow \epsilon_1 \le \epsilon_2$
- Sufficient Condition:  $\epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2$ 
  - How? Choose  $\tilde{\epsilon} = \epsilon_2 \epsilon_1$



• Bob makes additional erasure by observing  $Y^n,$  which consequently occur with probability  $\tilde{\epsilon}$ 



• Necessary Condition:



- Necessary Condition:
  - $\rho_m^2(X;Y) \ge \rho_m^2(U;V) \Leftrightarrow 1 \epsilon_1 \ge (1 2\alpha_2)^2 \Leftrightarrow \epsilon_1 \le 4\alpha_2(1 \alpha_2)$



• Necessary Condition:

- $\rho_m^2(X;Y) \ge \rho_m^2(U;V) \Leftrightarrow 1 \epsilon_1 \ge (1 2\alpha_2)^2 \Leftrightarrow \epsilon_1 \le 4\alpha_2(1 \alpha_2)$
- Match the channel condition of less noisy DM-BC



- Necessary Condition:
  - $\rho_m^2(X;Y) \ge \rho_m^2(U;V) \Leftrightarrow 1 \epsilon_1 \ge (1 2\alpha_2)^2 \Leftrightarrow \epsilon_1 \le 4\alpha_2(1 \alpha_2)$
  - Match the channel condition of less noisy DM-BC
- Sufficient Condition:  $\epsilon_1 \leq 2\alpha_2$



- Necessary Condition:
  - $\rho_m^2(X;Y) \ge \rho_m^2(U;V) \Leftrightarrow 1 \epsilon_1 \ge (1 2\alpha_2)^2 \Leftrightarrow \epsilon_1 \le 4\alpha_2(1 \alpha_2)$
  - Match the channel condition of less noisy DM-BC
- Sufficient Condition:  $\epsilon_1 \leq 2\alpha_2$ 
  - Match the channel condition of degraded DM-BC.



- Necessary Condition:
  - $\rho_m^2(X;Y) \ge \rho_m^2(U;V) \Leftrightarrow 1 \epsilon_1 \ge (1 2\alpha_2)^2 \Leftrightarrow \epsilon_1 \le 4\alpha_2(1 \alpha_2)$
  - Match the channel condition of less noisy DM-BC
- Sufficient Condition:  $\epsilon_1 \leq 2\alpha_2$ 
  - Match the channel condition of degraded DM-BC.
- What if  $2\alpha_2 \leq \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_1 \leq 4\alpha_2(1-\alpha_2)$ ?



- Necessary Condition:
  - $\rho_m^2(X;Y) \ge \rho_m^2(U;V) \Leftrightarrow 1 \epsilon_1 \ge (1 2\alpha_2)^2 \Leftrightarrow \epsilon_1 \le 4\alpha_2(1 \alpha_2)$
  - Match the channel condition of less noisy DM-BC
- Sufficient Condition:  $\epsilon_1 \leq 2\alpha_2$ 
  - Match the channel condition of degraded DM-BC.
- What if  $2\alpha_2 \le \epsilon_1 \le \epsilon_1 \le 4\alpha_2(1-\alpha_2)$ ? Let's see the achievability first.



• Sufficient Condition:  $\epsilon_1 \leq 2\alpha_2$ 





• What if  $2\alpha_2 \leq \epsilon_1$ ?



• What if  $2\alpha_2 \le \epsilon_1$ ? We don't know (because at least one of crossover probability can be greater than  $\alpha_2$ .)



• Necessary Condition:  $\rho_m(X;Y) \ge \rho_m(U;V) \Leftrightarrow (1-\alpha_1)^2 \ge (1-\epsilon_2)(1-2\alpha_2)^2$ 



- Necessary Condition:  $\rho_m(X;Y) \ge \rho_m(U;V) \Leftrightarrow (1-\alpha_1)^2 \ge (1-\epsilon_2)(1-2\alpha_2)^2$
- Sufficient Condition:

• 
$$\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \Leftrightarrow (1 - 2\alpha_1)^2 \geq (1 - 2\alpha_2)^2$$



- Necessary Condition:  $\rho_m(X;Y) \ge \rho_m(U;V) \Leftrightarrow (1-\alpha_1)^2 \ge (1-\epsilon_2)(1-2\alpha_2)^2$
- Sufficient Condition:
  - $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \Leftrightarrow (1 2\alpha_1)^2 \geq (1 2\alpha_2)^2$
  - How? Next slide.



- Necessary Condition:  $\rho_m(X;Y) \ge \rho_m(U;V) \Leftrightarrow (1-\alpha_1)^2 \ge (1-\epsilon_2)(1-2\alpha_2)^2$
- Sufficient Condition:
  - $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \Leftrightarrow (1 2\alpha_1)^2 \geq (1 2\alpha_2)^2$
  - How? Next slide.
- What about the  $(1 \epsilon_2)(1 2\alpha_2)^2 \le (1 2\alpha_1)^2 \le (1 2\alpha_2)^2$ ?



• Sufficient Condition:  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$ 



- Sufficient Condition:  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$
- How? Both Alice and Bob do their jobs.



- Sufficient Condition:  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$
- How? Both Alice and Bob do their jobs.





- Sufficient Condition:  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$
- How? Both Alice and Bob do their jobs.



• For above virtual channel, U, V is BSBES



- Sufficient Condition:  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$
- How? Both Alice and Bob do their jobs.



- For above virtual channel, U, V is BSBES
- In previous example, we showed that Both can generate BEC and Alice can also generate BSC.

 Note in the previous 4 examples, ρ<sub>m</sub> and s<sup>\*</sup> are the same, hence they always gave same necessary condition..
 Question: Are there are cases where one is more powerful?

- Note in the previous 4 examples, ρ<sub>m</sub> and s<sup>\*</sup> are the same, hence they always gave same necessary condition.. Question: Are there are cases where one is more powerful?
- Answer (Kamath '12): Yes, consider (X, Y) is DSBS $(\alpha)$  and Where we want to simulate  $(U, V) \sim p(u, v)$  binary, with

$$\mathbb{P}_{U,V}(0,0) = \mathbb{P}_{U,V}(0,1) = \mathbb{P}_{U,V}(1,0) = \frac{1}{3}$$

• When  $\alpha < \frac{1}{4}$  ,

$$\rho_m(X;Y) = 1 - 2\alpha \ge \frac{1}{2} = \rho_m(U,V)$$

So the maximal correlation criteria didn't say NO.

• When  $\alpha < \frac{1}{4}$ ,

$$\rho_m(X;Y) = 1 - 2\alpha \ge \frac{1}{2} = \rho_m(U,V)$$

So the maximal correlation criteria didn't say NO.

• If simulation is possible,  $Pr{\{\tilde{U}=1\}} \approx \frac{1}{3}$ , where  $\tilde{U} = f(X^n)$ . Similarly  $Pr{\{\tilde{V}=1\}} \approx \frac{1}{3}$ . Also it is required that  $Pr{\{\tilde{U}=1,\tilde{V}=1\}} \approx 0$ .

• When  $\alpha < \frac{1}{4}$ ,

$$\rho_m(X;Y) = 1 - 2\alpha \ge \frac{1}{2} = \rho_m(U,V)$$

So the maximal correlation criteria didn't say NO.

- If simulation is possible,  $Pr{\{\tilde{U}=1\}} \approx \frac{1}{3}$ , where  $\tilde{U} = f(X^n)$ . Similarly  $Pr{\{\tilde{V}=1\}} \approx \frac{1}{3}$ . Also it is required that  $Pr{\{\tilde{U}=1,\tilde{V}=1\}} \approx 0$ .
- But for  $p = 2\alpha$ , it can be shown that  $r_p(X;Y) = 2\alpha$  as well.

 $\bullet$  When  $\alpha < \frac{1}{4}$  ,

$$\rho_m(X;Y) = 1 - 2\alpha \ge \frac{1}{2} = \rho_m(U,V)$$

So the maximal correlation criteria didn't say NO.

- If simulation is possible,  $Pr{\{\tilde{U}=1\}} \approx \frac{1}{3}$ , where  $\tilde{U} = f(X^n)$ . Similarly  $Pr{\{\tilde{V}=1\}} \approx \frac{1}{3}$ . Also it is required that  $Pr{\{\tilde{U}=1,\tilde{V}=1\}} \approx 0$ .
- But for  $p = 2\alpha$ , it can be shown that  $r_p(X; Y) = 2\alpha$  as well.
- Then hypercontractivity gives

$$Pr\{\tilde{U}=1,\tilde{V}=1\} \geq Pr\{\tilde{U}=1\}^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}Pr\{\tilde{V}=1\}^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}},$$

Clearly the LHS is bounded away from zero, a contradiction!



• We explored the common information measures  $\rho_m(X;Y),\,s^*(X;Y);$  definition, property, and examples



- We explored the common information measures  $\rho_m(X;Y), s^*(X;Y)$ ; definition, property, and examples
- Two measures gives necessary condition of Non-Interactive Simulation
  - These conditions are tight for some cases

- We explored the common information measures  $\rho_m(X;Y), s^*(X;Y)$ ; definition, property, and examples
- Two measures gives necessary condition of Non-Interactive Simulation
  - These conditions are tight for some cases
  - Some results cannot be obtained only from mutual information

٠

- We explored the common information measures  $\rho_m(X;Y), s^*(X;Y)$ ; definition, property, and examples
- Two measures gives necessary condition of Non-Interactive Simulation
  - These conditions are tight for some cases
  - Some results cannot be obtained only from mutual information